The Mazurka Project




Craig Stuart Sapp Centre for the History and Analysis of Recorded Music Royal Holloway, University of London

Science and Music Seminar
University of Cambridge
28 Nov 2006

Some facets of music

fields of generation



fields of analysis

Performance data extraction

Reverse conducting



- Listen to recording and tap to beats.
- Tap times recorded in *Sonic Visualiser* by tapping on computer keyboard.

Align taps to beats



tempo by beat



- Reverse conducting is real-time response of listener, not actions of performer.
- Adjust tap times to correct beat locations.
- A bit fuzzy when RH/LH do not play in sync, or for tied notes.

Automatic feature extraction








off-beat timings


individual note timings individual note loudnesses

Reverse conducting

Mazurka project using an audio editor called Sonic Visualiser (SV):
 http://sonicvisualiser.org



• In SV, you can mark points in time while the audio is playing:




Beat alignment

Taps from reverse conducting are not exactly aligned with the performance.

primarily due to constant changes in tempo


- How to adjust to actual note attacks?
- Can be difficult to do by eye in audio editor.
- Very time-consuming to do by ear.
- Solution: audio markup plugins in SV to help locate note attacks:



such as: http://sv.mazurka.org.uk/MzAttack


Beat alignment (2)

• With visual aid of markup, correction becomes easy to do by eye:



Example:

= aligned to beats



Automatic feature extraction

• Beat times are used to create a simulated performance from the score.



Score data is in the Humdrum format:

http://humdrum.org



beat	left	right
times	hand	hand
1912 =1 2558 3021 3175 3778 =2 4430 4914 5541 =3 6289 6375 6461 6547 6805 7012 7219	4r =1 4r 4A 4d 4f 4A 4d 4f =2 4r 4A 4c 4f 4A 4c 4e =3 4r 4E 4G# 4d 4E 4G# 4d	4ee =1 8.ff 16ee 4dd 4ff =2 2ff . 4ee =3 24dd 24ee 24dd 8cc# 8dd 8dd# 8ee
7516	-	8b
=4	=4	=4

Automatic feature extraction (2)

 Data is translated to a Matlab-friendly format.


1912	4r	4ee	
=1	=1	=1	
2558	4r	8.ff	
3021		16ee	
3175	4A 4d 4f	4dd	
3778	4A 4d 4f	4ff	
=2	=2	=2	
	=1 2558 3021 3175 3778	=1 =1 2558 4r 3021 . 3175 4A 4d 4f 3778 4A 4d 4f	=1 =1 =1 2558 4r 8.ff 3021 . 16ee 3175 4A 4d 4f 4dd 3778 4A 4d 4f 4ff



	note onset	notated duratio	pitch (MIDI)	metric level	measure	absbeat	hand
-	1912 2558 3021 3175 3175 3175 3175 3778 3778 3778 3778	646 463 154 603 603 603 652 652 652 652	76 77 76 57 62 65 74 57 62 65 77	1 0 -1 0 0 0 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1.75 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3	2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2

• Automatic alignment and extraction of note onsets and loudnesses with program being developed by Andrew Earis.

Dynamics & Phrasing




Tempo graphs




Timescapes


- Examine the internal tempo structure of a performances
- Plot average tempos over various time-spans in the piece
- Example of a piece with 6 beats at tempos A, B, C, D, E, and F:




Timescapes (2)



Comparison of performers



Same performer



Correlation


Pearson correlation:

$$\frac{\sum_{i} (x_{i} - \overline{x}) (y_{i} - \overline{y})}{\sum_{i} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2} \sum_{i} (y_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}}$$

Measures how well two shapes match:


r = 1.0 is an exact match.


r = 0.0 means no relation at all.




Overall performance correlations

	Bi	Br	Ch	FI	In	Lu	R8	R6	Sm	Un
Biret	1.	0.92	0.81	0.83	0.95	0.85	0.62	0.5	0.55	0.86
Brailowsky	0.92	1.	0.81	0.86	0.91	0.84	0.66	0.55	0.65	0.85
Chiu	0.81	0.81	1.	0.86	0.86	0.81	0.76	0.74	0.67	0.89
Friere	0.83	0.86	0.86	1.	0.88	0.84	0.73	0.7	0.74	0.89
Indjic	0.95	0.91	0.86	0.88	1.	0.88	0.66	0.59	0.63	0.9
Luisada	0.85	0.84	0.81	0.84	0.88	1.	0.67	0.61	0.56	0.89
Rubinstein 1938	0.62	0.66	0.76	0.73	0.66	0.67	1.	0.77	0.62	0.75
Rubinstein 1966	0.5	0.55	0.74	0.7	0.59	0.61	0.77	1.	0.59	0.69
Smith	0.55	0.65	0.67	0.74	0.63	0.56	0.62	0.59	1.	0.64
Uninsky	0.86	0.85	0.89	0.89	0.9	0.89	0.75	0.69	0.64	1.







Correlation network




Correlation tree




Correlation tree (2)






Correlation scapes

• Who is most similar to a particular performer at any given region in the music?



Same performer over time


3 performances by Rubinstein of mazurka 17/4 in A minor




(30 performances compared)

Same performer (2)


2 performances by Horowitz of mazurka 17/4 in A minor plus Biret 1990 performance.



Correlation to average




Individual interpretations



• Idiosyncratic performances which are not emulated by other performers.


(or I don't have performances that influenced them or they influence)

Possible influences



Student/Teacher

Mazurka in F major 68/3




• Francois and Biret both studied with Cortot,

(20 performances compared)

Same source recording

The same performance by Magaloff on two different CD releases


mazurka 17/4 in A minor



• Structures at bottoms due to errors in beat extraction or interpreted beat locations (no notes on the beat).

Purely coincidental

Two difference performances from two different performers on two different record labels from two different countries.



For further information




http://www.charm.rhul.ac.uk/

http://mazurka.org.uk

Extra Slides

Average tempo over time

Performances of mazurkas slowing down over time:




Slowing down at about 3 BPM/decade

Laurence Picken, 1967: "Centeral Asian tunes in the Gagaku tradition" in *Festschrift für Walter Wiora*. Kassel: Bärenreiter, 545-51.

Reverse Conducting

- Orange = individual taps (multiple sessions) which create bands of time about 100 ms wide.
- Red = average time of individual taps for a particular beat



MIDI Performance Reconstructions

"straight" performance




tempo = avg. of performance

matching performers tempo beat-by-beat:



(pause at beginning)

MIDI file imported as a note layer in Sonic Visualiser:



- Superimposed on spectrogram
- Easy to distinguish pitch/harmonics
- Legato; LH/RH time offsets

Input to Andrew's System

Scan the score



Convert to symbolic data with SharpEye



http://www.visiv.co.uk

Convert to
Humdrum
data format

http://www.humdrum.org

Tap to the beats in Sonic Visualiser



http://www.sonicvisualiser.org



Create approximate performance score

Simplify for processing in Matlab